INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 13528

Second edition 2015-08-01 Corrected version 2016-10-15

Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparison

Méthodes statistiques utilisées dans les essais d'aptitude par comparaison interlaboratoires





COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2015, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland Tel. +41 22 749 01 11 Fax +41 22 749 09 47 copyright@iso.org www.iso.org

Contents			Page
Fore	eword		v
0	Intro	oduction	vii
1	Scon	ne	1
2	-	native references	
3 4	Terms and definitions		
		eral principles	
	4.1 4.2	General requirements for statistical methods Basic model	
_	4.2	General approaches for the evaluation of performance	5 5
5	Guidelines for the statistical design of proficiency testing schemes		6
	5.2	Basis of a statistical design	
	5.3	Considerations for the statistical distribution of results	
	5.4	Considerations for small numbers of participants	
	5.5	Guidelines for choosing the reporting format	
6	Guid	elines for the initial review of proficiency testing items and results	10
	6.1	Homogeneity and stability of proficiency test items	10
	6.2	Considerations for different measurement methods	
	6.3	Blunder removal	
	6.4	Visual review of data	
	6.5	Robust statistical methods	
	6.6	Outlier techniques for individual results	
7		rmination of the assigned value and its standard uncertainty	13
	7.1	Choice of method of determining the assigned value	
	7.2 7.3	Determining the uncertainty of the assigned valueFormulation	
	7.3 7.4	Certified reference material	
	7.5	Results from one laboratory	
	7.6	Consensus value from expert laboratories	
	7.7	Consensus value from participant results	18
	7.8	Comparison of the assigned value with an independent reference value	19
8	Dete	rmination of criteria for evaluation of performance	20
	8.1	Approaches for determining evaluation criteria	
	8.2	By perception of experts	20
	8.3	By experience from previous rounds of a proficiency testing scheme	
	8.4	By use of a general model	21
	8.5	Using the repeatability and reproducibility standard deviations from a previous collaborative study of precision of a measurement method	22
	8.6	From data obtained in the same round of a proficiency testing scheme	22
	8.7	Monitoring interlaboratory agreement	23
0			
9	Calculation of performance statistics 9.1 General considerations for determining performance		23 23
	9.2	Limiting the uncertainty of the assigned value	2.4
	9.3	Estimates of deviation (measurement error)	25
	9.4	z scores	
	9.5	z ' scores	
	9.6	Zeta scores (ζ)	
	9.7	E _n scores	
	9.8 9.9	Evaluation of participant uncertainties in testing	
	フ・フ	Combined perior mance scores	JU