
Determination and use of polynomial calibration functions

Détermination et utilisation des fonctions d'étalonnage polynômial



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Foreword | iv |
| Introduction..... | v |
| 1 Scope | 1 |
| 2 Normative references | 2 |
| 3 Terms and definitions..... | 2 |
| 4 Conventions and notation | 4 |
| 5 Other Standards using polynomial calibration functions..... | 6 |
| 6 Calibration data and associated uncertainties | 7 |
| 7 Polynomials as calibration functions | 10 |
| 7.1 General..... | 10 |
| 7.2 Working with polynomials..... | 10 |
| 7.3 Choice of defining interval for the calibration function | 13 |
| 7.4 Using the Chebyshev representation of a polynomial | 13 |
| 7.5 Assessing suitability of a polynomial function: visual inspection | 16 |
| 7.6 Assessing suitability of a polynomial function: monotonicity | 19 |
| 7.7 Assessing suitability of a polynomial function: degree | 19 |
| 7.8 Validation of the calibration function | 22 |
| 7.9 Use of the calibration function | 23 |
| 8 Generic approach to determining a polynomial calibration function | 23 |
| 9 Statistical models for uncertainty structures | 25 |
| 9.1 General..... | 25 |
| 9.2 Response data uncertainties | 25 |
| 9.3 Response data uncertainties and covariances..... | 28 |
| 9.4 Stimulus and response data uncertainties..... | 34 |
| 9.5 Stimulus and response data uncertainties and covariances | 37 |
| 9.6 Unknown data uncertainties..... | 40 |
| 10 Polynomials satisfying specified conditions | 43 |
| 11 Transforming and interchanging variables..... | 44 |
| 12 Use of the polynomial calibration function..... | 45 |
| 12.1 General..... | 45 |
| 12.2 Inverse evaluation | 45 |
| 12.3 Direct evaluation | 47 |
| Annex A (informative) Checking the monotonicity of a polynomial..... | 48 |
| Annex B (informative) Standard uncertainty associated with a value obtained by inverse evaluation..... | 49 |
| Bibliography | 51 |

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 69, *Application of statistical methods*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Measurement methods and results*.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

0.1 Calibration is central to measurement science and involves fitting to measured data a function that describes the relationship of a response (dependent) variable y to a stimulus (independent) variable x . It also involves the use of that calibration function. This document considers calibration functions in the form of polynomial models that depend on a set of parameters (coefficients). The purpose of a calibration procedure is the following.

- a) To estimate the parameters of the calibration function given suitable calibration data provided by a measuring system and evaluate the covariance matrix associated with these parameter estimates. Any uncertainties provided with the data are taken into consideration.
- b) To use an accepted calibration function for inverse evaluation, that is, to determine the stimulus value corresponding to a further measured response value, and also to obtain the stimulus value standard uncertainty given the response value standard uncertainty. A calibration function is sometimes used for direct evaluation, that is, to determine the response value corresponding to a further stimulus value, and also to obtain the response value standard uncertainty given the stimulus value standard uncertainty.

This document describes how these calculations can be undertaken using recognized algorithms. It provides examples from a number of disciplines: absorbed dose determination (NPL), flow meter characterization (INRIM), natural gas analysis (VSL), resistance thermometry (DFM) and isotope-based quantitation (NRC).

0.2 The nature of the calibration data uncertainty information influences the manner in which the calibration function parameters are estimated and how their associated covariance matrix is provided. This uncertainty information may include quantified measurement covariance effects relating to dependencies among the quantities involved.

0.3 Since in any particular instance the degree of the polynomial calibration function is not generally known, this document recommends the determination of polynomial functions of all degrees up to a stipulated maximum (limited by the quantity of data available), followed by the selection of one of these degrees according to suitable criteria. One criterion relates to the requirement that the calibration function is monotonic (strictly increasing or decreasing) over its domain. A second criterion relates to striking a balance between the polynomial calibration function providing a satisfactory explanation of the data and the number of parameters required to describe that polynomial. A further criterion relates to visual acceptance of the polynomial function.

0.4 The determination and use of a polynomial calibration function thus consist of the following steps:

- 1 obtaining calibration data and available uncertainty information including covariance information when available;
- 2 determining polynomial functions of all degrees up to a prescribed maximum in a manner that respects the uncertainty information;
- 3 selecting an appropriate function from this set of polynomial functions according to the criteria in Subclause 0.3;
- 4 providing estimates of the parameters of the chosen polynomial function and obtaining the covariance matrix associated with those estimates;

- 5 using the calibration function for inverse evaluation and associated uncertainty evaluation;
- 6 using the calibration function for direct evaluation and associated uncertainty evaluation.

0.5 This document treats steps 2 to 6 listed in Subclause 0.4 employing the principles of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM). Therefore, as part of step 1, before using this document, the user should provide available standard uncertainties and covariances associated with the measured x - and y -values. Account should be taken of the provisions of the GUM in obtaining these uncertainties on the basis of a measurement model that is specific to the area of concern.

Determination and use of polynomial calibration functions

1 Scope

1.1 This document is concerned with polynomial calibration functions that describe the relationship between a stimulus variable and a response variable. These functions contain parameters estimated from calibration data consisting of a set of pairs of stimulus value and response value. Various cases are considered relating to the nature of any uncertainties associated with the data.

1.2 Estimates of the polynomial function parameters are determined using least-squares methods, taking account of the specified uncertainty information. It is assumed that the calibration data are fit for purpose and thus the treatment of outliers is not considered. It is also assumed that the calibration data errors are regarded as drawn from normal distributions. An emphasis of this document is on choosing the least-squares method appropriate for the nature of the data uncertainties in any particular case. Since these methods are well documented in the technical literature and software that implements them is freely available, they are not described in this document.

1.3 Commonly occurring types of covariance matrix associated with the calibration data are considered covering (a) response data uncertainties, (b) response data uncertainties and covariances, (c) stimulus and response data uncertainties, and (d) stimulus data uncertainties and covariances, and response data uncertainties and covariances. The case where the data uncertainties are unknown is also treated.

1.4 Methods for selecting the degree of the polynomial calibration function according to prescribed criteria are given. The covariance matrix associated with the estimates of the parameters in the selected polynomial function is available as a by-product of the least-squares methods used.

1.5 For the chosen polynomial function this document describes the use of the parameter estimates and their associated covariance matrix for inverse and direct evaluation. It also describes how the provisions of ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (GUM) can be used to provide the associated standard uncertainties.

1.6 Consideration is given to accounting for certain constraints (such as the polynomial passing through the origin) that may need to be imposed and also to the use of transformations of the variables that may render the behaviour of the calibration function more polynomial-like. Interchanging the roles of the variables is also considered.

1.7 Examples from several areas of measurement science illustrate the use of this document.