ILNAS

Institut luxembourgeois de la normalisation
de l'accréditation, de la sécurité et qualité
des produits et services

ILNAS-EN IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2021

Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-

Messverfahren für die Beurteilung der spezifischen Absorptionsrate bei der Exposition von Personen gegenüber hochfrequenten Feldern von

Procédure de mesure pour l'évaluation du débit d'absorption spécifique de l'exposition humaine aux champs radiofréquence produits par les

11/2021

National Foreword

This European Standard EN IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2021 was adopted as Luxembourgish Standard ILNAS-EN IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2021.

Every interested party, which is member of an organization based in Luxembourg, can participate for FREE in the development of Luxembourgish (ILNAS), European (CEN, CENELEC) and International (ISO, IEC) standards:

- Participate in the design of standards
- Foresee future developments
- Participate in technical committee meetings

https://portail-qualite.public.lu/fr/normes-normalisation/participer-normalisation.html

EUROPEAN STANDARD
NORME EUROPÉENNE
EUROPÄISCHE NORM

EN IEC/IEEE 62209-1528

November 2021

ICS 17.220.20

Supersedes EN 62209-1:2016, EN 62209-2:2010 and all of its amendments and corrigenda (if any)

English Version

Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Part 1528: Human models, instrumentation, and procedures (Frequency range of 4 MHz to 10 GHz) (IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020)

Procédure de mesure pour l'évaluation du débit d'absorption spécifique de l'exposition humaine aux champs radiofréquence produits par les dispositifs de communications sans fil tenus à la main ou portés près du corps - Partie 1528: Modèles humain, instrumentation et procédures (Plage de fréquences comprise entre 4 MHz et 10 GHz)
(IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020)

Messverfahren für die Beurteilung der spezifischen Absorptionsrate bei der Exposition von Personen gegenüber hochfrequenten Feldern von handgehaltenen und am Körper getragenen schnurlosen Kommunikationsgeräten - Teil 1528: Körpermodelle, Messgeräte und -verfahren (Frequenzbereich von 4 MHz bis 10 GHz)
(IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020)

This European Standard was approved by CENELEC on 2021-06-03. CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Ref. No. EN IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2021 E

European foreword

This document (EN IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2021) consists of the text of IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020 prepared by IEC/TC 106 "Methods for the assessment of electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields associated with human exposure".

The following dates are fixed:

- latest date by which the document has to be implemented at national level by publication of an identical national standard or by endorsement (dop) 2022-05-19
- latest date by which the national standards conflicting with the document have to be withdrawn (dow) 2024-11-19

This document supersedes EN 62209-1:2016 and EN 62209-2:2010 and all of their amendments and corrigenda (if any).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CENELEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national committee. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CENELEC website.

Endorsement notice

The text of the International Standard IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020 was approved by CENELEC as a European Standard without any modification.

In the official version, for Bibliography, the following notes have to be added for the standards indicated:

ISO/IEC 17025:2017	NOTE	Harmonized as EN ISO/IEC 17025:2017 (not modified)
IEC 62479:2010	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 62479:2010 (modified)
IEC 62311:2019	NOTE	Harmonized as EN IEC 62311:2020 (not modified)
IEC 60154-2	NOTE	Harmonized as EN 60154-2
ISO 10012:2003	NOTE	Harmonized as EN ISO 10012:2003 (not modified)
ISO/IEC 17043:2010	NOTE	Harmonized as EN ISO/IEC 17043:2010 (not modified)

Annex ZA
(normative)

Normative references to international publications with their corresponding European publications

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE 1 Where an International Publication has been modified by common modifications, indicated by (mod), the relevant EN/HD applies.

NOTE 2 Up-to-date information on the latest versions of the European Standards listed in this annex is available here: www.cenelec.eu.

Publication	Year	Title	EN/HD	Year
IEC 62209-3	2019	Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Part 3: Vector measurement-based systems (Frequency range of 600 MHz to 6 GHz)	EN IEC 62209-3	2019
ISO/IEC Guide 98-3	2008	Uncertainty of measurement - Part 3: Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM:1995)	-	-

IEC IEEE

IEC/IEEE 62209-1528

Edition 1.0 2020-10

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

NORME INTERNATIONALE

colour inside

Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Part 1528: Human models, instrumentation, and procedures (Frequency range of 4 MHz to 10 GHz)

Procédure de mesure pour l'évaluation du débit d'absorption spécifique de l'exposition humaine aux champs radiofréquence produits par les dispositifs de communications sans fil tenus à la main ou portés près du corps – Partie 1528: Modèles humains, instrumentation et procédures (Plage de fréquences comprise entre 4 MHz et 10 GHz)

IEC/IEEE 62209-1528:2020-10(en-fr)

CONTENTS

FOREWORD 14
INTRODUCTION 17
1 Scope 18
2 Normative references 18
3 Terms and definitions 18
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms 26
4.1 Physical quantities 26
4.2 Constants 26
4.3 Abbreviated terms 27
5 Quick start guide and evaluation plan checklist 28
6 Measurement system specifications 30
6.1 General requirements for full SAR testing 30
6.2 Phantom specifications 31
6.2.1 General 31
6.2.2 Basic phantom parameters 31
6.2.3 Head phantom 33
6.2.4 Flat phantom 34
6.2.5 Device-specific phantoms 35
6.3 Influence of hand on SAR in head 35
6.4 Scanning system requirements 36
6.5 Device holder specifications 36
6.6 Characteristics of the readout electronics 37
7 Protocol for SAR assessment 37
7.1 General 37
7.2 Measurement preparation 37
7.2.1 Preparation of tissue-equivalent medium and system check 37
7.2.2 Preparation of the wireless communication DUT 38
7.2.3 DUT operating mode requirements 38
7.2.4 Positioning of the DUT relative to the phantom 40
7.2.5 Antenna configurations 57
7.2.6 Options and accessories 57
7.2.7 DUTs with alternative form factor 57
7.2.8 Test frequencies for DUTs 58
7.3 Tests to be performed for DUTs 58
7.3.1 General 58
7.3.2 Basic approach for DUT testing 59
7.4 Measurement procedure 60
7.4.1 General 60
7.4.2 Full SAR testing procedure 60
7.4.3 Drift 64
7.4.4 SAR measurements of DUTs with multiple antennas or multiple transmitters 66
7.5 Post-processing of SAR measurement data 72
7.5.1 Interpolation 72
7.5.2 Extrapolation 72
7.5.3 Definition of the averaging volume 72

7.5.4 Searching for the maxima 73
7.6 Time-period averaged SAR considerations 73
7.6.1 General 73
7.6.2 RF conducted power 73
7.6.3 Time-period averaged SAR measurement settings for SAR measurement methods 73
7.6.4 Exposure condition and test position considerations 74
7.6.5 Time-period averaged SAR for simultaneous transmission 74
7.6.6 TX factor assessment 74
7.6.7 SAR measurements 75
7.6.8 Uncertainty in TPAS evaluations 75
7.7 Proximity sensors considerations 76
7.7.1 General 76
7.7.2 Procedures for determining proximity sensor triggering distances 77
7.7.3 Procedure for determining proximity sensor coverage area 80
7.7.4 SAR measurement procedure involving proximity sensors 81
7.8 SAR correction for deviations of complex permittivity from targets 81
7.8.1 General 81
7.8.2 SAR correction formula 82
7.8.3 Uncertainty of the correction formula 83
7.9 Minimization of testing time 83
7.9.1 General 83
7.9.2 Fast SAR testing 84
7.9.3 SAR test reductions 89
8 Measurement uncertainty estimation 100
8.1 General 100
8.2 Requirements on the uncertainty evaluation 101
8.3 Description of uncertainty models 102
8.3.1 General 102
8.3.2 SAR measurement of a DUT 102
8.3.3 System validation and system check measurement 102
8.3.4 System check repeatability and reproducibility 102
8.3.5 Fast SAR testing (relative measurement) 102
8.4 Parameters contributing to uncertainty 104
8.4.1 Measurement system errors 104
8.4.2 Phantom and device (DUT or validation antenna) errors 105
8.4.3 Corrections to the SAR result (if applied) 107
9 Measurement report 108
9.1 General 108
9.2 Items to be recorded in the measurement report 108
Annex A (normative) SAR measurement system verification 112
A.1 Overview 112
A.2 System check 112
A.2.1 Purpose 112
A.2.2 Phantom set-up 113
A.2.3 System check antenna 113
A.2.4 System check antenna input power measurement 114
A.2.5 System check procedure 115
A.2.6 System check acceptance criteria 116

A.3 System validation 116
A.3.1 Purpose 116
A.3.2 Phantom set-up 116
A.3.3 System validation antennas 116
A.3.4 Input power measurement 117
A.3.5 System validation procedure 117
A.4 Fast SAR testing system validation and system check 119
A.4.1 General 119
A.4.2 Fast SAR testing system validation 119
A.4.3 Fast SAR testing system check 120
Annex B (informative) SAR test reduction supporting information 122
B.1 General 122
B.2 Test reduction based on characteristics of DUT design 122
B.2.1 General 122
B.2.2 Statistical analysis overview 122
B.2.3 Analysis results 123
B.2.4 Conclusions 126
B.2.5 Expansion to multi-transmission antennas 126
B.3 Test reduction based on analysis of SAR results on other signal modulations 126
B.3.1 General 126
B.3.2 Analysis results 127
B.4 Test reduction based on SAR level analysis 128
B.4.1 General 128
B.4.2 Statistical analysis 129
B.4.3 Test reduction applicability example 132
B.5 Other statistical approaches to search for the high SAR test configurations 134
B.5.1 General 134
B.5.2 Test reductions based on a DOE 134
B.5.3 One factor at a time (OFAT) search 134
B.5.4 Analysis of unstructured data 134
Annex C (informative) Measurement uncertainty of results obtained from specific fast SAR testing methods 135
C.1 General 135
C.2 Measurement uncertainty evaluation – contributing parameters 135
C.2.1 General 135
C.2.2 Probe calibration and system calibration drift 136
C.2.3 Isotropy 136
C.2.4 Probe positioning 137
C.2.5 Mutual sensor coupling 138
C.2.6 Scattering within the probe array 139
C.2.7 Sampling error 139
C.2.8 Array boundaries 139
C.2.9 Probe or probe array coupling with the DUT 139
C.2.10 Measurement system immunity / secondary reception 139
C.2.11 Deviations in phantom shape 140
C.2.12 Spatial variation in dielectric properties 140
C.2.13 Reconstruction 140
C.3 Uncertainty budget 140
Annex D (normative) SAR system validation antennas 143

D.1 General antenna requirements 143
D.2 Standard dipole antenna 143
D.2.1 Mechanical description 143
D.2.2 Numerical target SAR values 146
D.3 Standard waveguide 148
D.3.1 Mechanical description 148
D.3.2 Numerical target SAR values 149
D.4 System validation antennas for below 150 MHz 149
D.4.1 General 149
D.4.2 Confined loop antenna 150
D.4.3 Meander dipole antenna 152
D.5 Orthogonal E-field source – VPIFA 153
D.5.1 Mechanical description 153
D.5.2 Numerical target SAR values 156
Annex E (normative) Calibration and characterization of dosimetric (SAR) probes 157
E.1 Introductory remarks 157
E.2 Linearity 158
E.3 Assessment of the sensitivity of the dipole sensors 158
E.3.1 General 158
E.3.2 Two-step calibration procedures 158
E.3.3 One-step calibration procedure – reference antenna method 164
E.3.4 One-step calibration procedure – coaxial calorimeter method 168
E.4 Isotropy 170
E.4.1 Axial isotropy 170
E.4.2 Hemispherical isotropy 170
E.5 Lower detection limit 175
E.6 Boundary effect 176
E.7 Response time 176
Annex F (informative) Example recipes for phantom tissue-equivalent media 177
F.1 General 177
F.2 Ingredients 177
F.3 Tissue-equivalent medium liquid formulas (permittivity/conductivity) 178
Annex G (normative) Phantom specifications 180
G.1 Rationale for the phantom characteristics 180
G.1.1 General 180
G.1.2 Rationale for the SAM phantom 180
G.1.3 Rationale for the flat phantom 180
G.2 SAM phantom specifications 181
G.2.1 General SAM phantom specifications 181
G.2.2 SAM phantom shell specification 185
G.3 Flat phantom specifications 187
G.4 Justification of flat phantom dimensions 188
G.5 Rationale for tissue-equivalent media 191
G.6 Definition of a phantom coordinate system and a DUT coordinate system 193
Annex H (informative) Measurement of the dielectric properties of tissue-equivalent media and uncertainty estimation 195
H.1 Overview 195
H.2 Measurement techniques 195
H.2.1 General 195

H.2.2 Instrumentation 195
H.2.3 General principles 195
H.3 Slotted coaxial transmission line 196
H.3.1 General 196
H.3.2 Equipment set-up 196
H.3.3 Measurement procedure 197
H.4 Contact coaxial probe 197
H.4.1 General 197
H.4.2 Equipment set-up 198
H.4.3 Measurement procedure 199
H.5 TEM transmission line 199
H.5.1 General 199
H.5.2 Equipment set-up 200
H.5.3 Measurement procedure 200
H.6 Dielectric properties of reference liquids 201
Annex I (informative) Studies for potential hand effects on head SAR 204
I.1 Overview 204
I.2 Background 204
I.2.1 General 204
I.2.2 Hand phantoms 205
I.3 Summary of experimental studies 205
I.3.1 Experimental studies using fully compliant SAR measurement systems 205
I.3.2 Experimental studies using other SAR measurement systems 205
I.4 Summary of computational studies 206
I.5 Conclusions 206
Annex J (informative) Skin enhancement factor 207
J.1 Background 207
J.2 Rationale 208
J.3 Simulations 208
J.4 Recommendation 209
Annex K (normative) Application-specific phantoms 211
K.1 General 211
K.2 Phantom basic requirements 211
K.3 Examples of specific alternative phantoms 211
K.3.1 Face-down SAM phantom 211
K.3.2 Head-stand SAM phantom 212
K.3.3 Wrist phantom 212
K.4 Scanning and evaluation requirements 213
K.5 Uncertainty assessment 213
K.6 Reporting 213
Annex L (normative) Fast compliance evaluations using a flat-bottom phantom with a curved corner (Uniphantom) 214
L.1 General 214
L.2 Uniphantom 214
L.3 Device positions for compliance testing and definitions of handset shapes 214
L.3.1 General 214
L.3.2 Handsets with a straight form factor 215
L.3.3 Handsets with a clamshell form factor 215
L.4 Testing procedure 215

L.4.1 General 215
L.4.2 Handsets with straight form factors 215
L.4.3 Handsets with clamshell form factors 216
L.5 Uncertainty of SAR measurement results using Uniphantom 217
Annex M (informative) Wired hands-free headset testing 218
M.1 Concept 218
M.2 Example results 219
M.3 Discussion 220
Annex N (informative) Applying the head SAR test procedures 221
Annex O (normative) Uncertainty analysis for measurement system manufacturers and calibration laboratories 224
O.1 Probe linearity and detection limits 224
O.2 Broadband signal uncertainty 225
O.3 Boundary effect 225
O.4 Field-probe readout electronics uncertainty 226
O.5 Signal step-response time uncertainty 226
O.6 Probe integration-time uncertainty 227
O.6.1 General 227
O.6.2 Probe integration-time uncertainty for periodic pulsed signals 227
O.6.3 Probe integration-time uncertainty for non-periodic signals 228
O.7 Contribution of mechanical constraints 228
O.7.1 Mechanical tolerances of the probe positioner (directions parallel to phantom surface) 228
O.7.2 Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell surface 228
O.7.3 First-order approximation of exponential decay 229
O.8 Contribution of post-processing 229
O.8.1 General 229
O.8.2 Evaluation test functions 230
O.8.3 Data-processing algorithm uncertainty evaluations 232
O.9 Tissue-equivalent medium properties uncertainty 235
O.9.1 General 235
O.9.2 Medium density 235
O.9.3 Medium conductivity uncertainty 235
O.9.4 Medium permittivity uncertainty 235
O.9.5 Assessment of dielectric properties measurement uncertainties 235
O.9.6 Medium temperature uncertainty 237
Annex P (normative) Post-processing techniques 239
P.1 Extrapolation and interpolation schemes 239
P.1.1 General 239
P.1.2 Extrapolation schemes 239
P.1.3 Interpolation schemes 239
P.2 Averaging scheme and maximum finding 239
P.2.1 Volume average schemes 239
P.2.2 Finding the psSAR and estimating the uncertainty 240
Annex Q (informative) Rationale for time-period averaged SAR test procedure 241
Annex R (normative) Measurement uncertainty analysis for testing laboratories 242
R.1 RF ambient conditions 242
R.2 Device positioning and holder uncertainties 242
R.2.1 General 242

R.2.2 Device holder perturbation uncertainty 243
R.2.3 DUT positioning uncertainty with a specific test device holder: Type A 244
R.3 Probe modulation response 244
R.4 Time-period averaged SAR 245
R.4.1 General 245
R.4.2 TX factor uncertainty 245
R.5 Measured SAR drift 246
R.5.1 General 246
R.5.2 Accounting for drift 246
R.6 SAR scaling uncertainty 247
Annex S (normative) Validation antenna SAR measurement uncertainty 248
S.1 Deviation of experimental antennas 248
S.2 Other uncertainty contributions when using system validation antennas 248
Annex T (normative) Interlaboratory comparisons 250
T.1 Purpose 250
T.2 Phantom set-up 250
T.3 Reference devices 250
T.4 Power set-up 250
T.5 Interlaboratory comparison – procedure 251
Annex U (informative) Determination of the margin for compliance evaluation using the Uniphantom 252
U.1 General 252
U.2 Deviation of the psSAR measured using the Uniphantom from the psSAR measured using the SAM phantom 252
U.3 Determination of margin based on 95 % confidence interval 253
U.4 Examples of the determination of the margin factor 253
U.4.1 Margin for handsets with straight form factors at flat-bottom position 253
U.4.2 Margin for handsets with straight form factors (except smart phones at flat-bottom position) 255
U.4.3 Margin for smart phones at flat-bottom position 257
U.4.4 Margin for smart phones at corner position 259
U.4.5 Margin for handsets with clamshell form factors at corner position 261
Annex V (informative) Automatic input power level control for system validation 264
V.1 General 264
V.2 Operational mechanism of AIPLC 264
Annex W (informative) LTE test configurations supporting information 266
W.1 General 266
W.2 Study 1 266
W.3 Study 2 268
W.4 Justifications of relative standard deviations 269
Bibliography 271

Figure 1 – Quick start guide 29
Figure 2 – Dimensions of the elliptical phantom 35
Figure 3 – Mounting of the DUT in the device holder using low-permittivity and low-loss foam to avoid changes of DUT performance by the holder material 37
Figure 4 – Designation of DUT reference points 41

Figure 5 – Measurements performed by shifting a large device over the efficient measurement area of the system including overlapping areas – in this case: six tests performed 42
Figure 6 – Test positions for body-worn devices 43
Figure 7 – Device with swivel antenna 44
Figure 8 – Test positions for body supported devices 45
Figure 9 – Test positions for desktop devices 47
Figure 10 – Test positions for front-of-face devices 48
Figure 11 – Test position for hand-held devices, not used at the head or torso 49
Figure 12 – Test position for limb-worn devices 49
Figure 13 – Test position for clothing-integrated wireless communication devices 50
Figure 14 – Possible test positions for a generic device 51
Figure 15 – Vertical and horizontal reference lines and reference points A and B on two example device types: a full touch-screen smart phone (left) and a DUT with a keypad (right) 53
Figure 16 – Cheek position of the DUT on the left side of SAM where the device position shall be maintained for the phantom test set-up 56
Figure 17 – Tilt position of the DUT on the left side of SAM 56
Figure 18 – An alternative form factor DUT with reference points and reference lines 57
Figure 19 – Block diagram of the tests to be performed 60
Figure 20 – Orientation of the probe with respect to the line normal to the phantom surface, for head and flat phantoms, shown at two different locations 64
Figure 21 – Measurement procedure for different types of correlated signals 72
Figure 22 – Positioning of the surfaces and edges of the DUT for determining the proximity sensor triggering distance 79
Figure 23 – Positioning of the edges of the DUT to determine proximity sensor triggering distance variations with the edge positioned at different angles from the perpendicular position 80
Figure 24 – Fast SAR Procedure A 87
Figure 25 – Fast SAR Procedure B 89
Figure 26 – Modified chart of Figure 19 93
Figure 27 – Use of conducted power for LTE mode selection, for Band 1 (1 920 MHz to 1 980 MHz) (MPR values are in dB) 97
Figure 28 – Use of conducted power for LTE mode selection, for Band 17 (704 MHz to 716 MHz) (MPR values are in dB) 98
Figure A.1 – Test set-up for the system check 114
Figure B.1 – Distribution of Tilt/Cheek 124
Figure B.2 – SAR relative to SAR in position with maximum SAR in GSM mode 128
Figure B.3 – Two points identifying the minimum distance between the position of the interpolated maximum SAR and the points at 0,6 × SAR_{max} 130
Figure B.4 – Histogram for D_{min} in the case of GSM 900 and iso-level at 0,6 × SAR_{max} 130
Figure B.5 – Histogram for random variable $Factor_{1g,1800}$ 132
Figure D.1 – Mechanical details of the standard dipoles 145
Figure D.2 – Standard waveguide (dimensions are according to Table D.3) 148
Figure D.3 – Drawing of the CLA that corresponds to a resonant loop integrated in a metallic structure to isolate the resonant structure from the environment 150
Figure D.4 – Mechanical details of the meander dipoles for 150 MHz 152

Figure D.5 – VPIFA validation antenna 155
Figure D.6 – Mask for positioning VPIFAs 155
Figure E.1 – Experimental set-up for assessment of the sensitivity (conversion factor) using a vertically-oriented rectangular waveguide 162
Figure E.2 – Illustration of the antenna gain evaluation set-up 165
Figure E.3 – Schematic of the coaxial calorimeter system 169
Figure E.4 – Set-up to assess hemispherical isotropy deviation in tissue-equivalent medium 171
Figure E.5 – Alternative set-up to assess hemispherical isotropy deviation in tissue-equivalent medium 172
Figure E.6 – Experimental set-up for the hemispherical isotropy assessment 173
Figure E.7 – Conventions for dipole position (ξ) and polarization (θ) 174
Figure E.8 – Measurement of hemispherical isotropy with reference antenna 175
Figure G.1 – Illustration of dimensions in Table G.1 and Table G.2 182
Figure G.2 – Close up side view of phantom showing the ear region 184
Figure G.3 – Side view of the phantom showing relevant markings 185
Figure G.4 – Sagittally bisected phantom with extended perimeter (shown placed on its side as used for device SAR tests) 186
Figure G.5 – Picture of the phantom showing the central strip 186
Figure G.6 – Cross-sectional view of SAM at the reference plane 187
Figure G.7 – Dimensions of the flat phantom set-up used for deriving the minimal phantom dimensions for W and L for a given phantom depth D 189
Figure G.8 – FDTD predicted error in the 10 g psSAR as a function of the dimensions of the flat phantom compared with an infinite flat phantom at 800 MHz 190
Figure G.9 – Complex permittivity of human tissues compared to the phantom target properties 193
Figure G.10 – Example reference coordinate system for the left-ear ERP of the SAM phantom 194
Figure G.11 – Example coordinate system on a DUT 194
Figure H.1 – Slotted line set-up 196
Figure H.2 – An open-ended coaxial probe with inner and outer radii a and b, respectively 198
Figure H.3 – TEM line dielectric properties test set-up [85] 200
Figure J.1 – SAR and temperature increase (ΔT) distributions simulated for a three-layer (skin, fat, muscle) planar torso model 207
Figure J.2 – Statistical approach to protect 90 % of the population 209
Figure J.3 – psSAR skin enhancement factors 210
Figure K.1 – SAM face-down phantom 212
Figure K.2 – SAM head-stand phantom 212
Figure K.3 – Wrist phantom 213
Figure L.1 – Cross section of the unified phantom (Uniphantom) with its dimensions 214
Figure L.2 – Measurement positions of handsets with straight and clamshell form factors 215
Figure L.3 – Flow chart of testing procedure for handsets with straight form factors 216
Figure L.4 – Flow chart of testing procedure for handsets with clamshell form factors 217
Figure M.1 – Configuration of a personal wired hands-free headset 218

Figure M.2 – Configuration without a personal wired hands-free headset 219
Figure O.1 – Orientation and surface of averaging volume relative to phantom surface 235
Figure U.1 – Categories (classes) for comparison of the measured psSAR between the Uniphantom (SAR_{uni}) and the SAM phantom (SAR_{SAM}) 252
Figure U.2 – Histogram of the deviation of the 10 g psSAR of 45 handsets with straight form factors positioned at the flat bottom of the Uniphantom 254
Figure U.3 – Histogram of the deviation of the 1 g psSAR of 40 handsets with straight form factors positioned at the flat bottom of the Uniphantom 255
Figure U.4 – Histogram of the deviation of the 10 g psSAR of 25 handsets with straight form factors positioned at the flat bottom of the Uniphantom 256
Figure U.5 – Histogram of the deviation of the 1 g psSAR from 20 handsets with straight form factors positioned at the flat bottom of the Uniphantom 257
Figure U.6 – Histogram of the deviation of the 10 g psSAR of 20 handsets with straight form factors or smart phones positioned at the flat bottom of the Uniphantom 258
Figure U.7 – Histogram of the deviation of the 1 g psSAR of 20 handsets with straight form factors or smart phones positioned at the flat bottom of the Uniphantom 259
Figure U.8 – Histogram of the deviation of the 10 g psSAR of 20 handsets with straight form factors or smart phones positioned at the corner of the Uniphantom 260
Figure U.9 – Histogram of the deviation of the 1 g psSAR of 19 handsets with straight form factors or smart phones positioned at the corner of the Uniphantom 261
Figure U.10 – Histogram of the deviation of the 10 g psSAR of 20 handsets with clamshell form factors at the corner of the Uniphantom 262
Figure U.11 – Histogram of the deviation of the 1 g psSAR of 19 handsets with clamshell form factors at the corner of the Uniphantom 263
Figure V.1 – Generated RF input power variations to operation time without and with application of AIPLC 264
Figure V.2 – The system block diagram of the AIPLC 265
Figure V.3 – Power variation characteristics by adjusting the amplifier or signal generator outputs 265
Figure W.1 – Low, middle, and high channels at 2 GHz band (Band 1) 267
Figure W.2 – RF conducted power versus 10 g psSAR 268
Figure W.3 – 1 g SAR as a function of RF conducted power in various test conditions 269

Table 1 – Evaluation plan checklist 28
Table 2 – Dielectric properties of the tissue-equivalent medium 32
Table 3 – Area scan parameters 63
Table 4 – Zoom scan parameters 63
Table 5 – Example method to determine the combined SAR value using Alternative 1 70
Table 6 – Root-mean-squared error SAR correction formula as a function of the maximum change in permittivity or conductivity [28] 83
Table 7 – Threshold values $TH(f)$ used in this proposed test reduction protocol 93
Table 8 – Divisors for common probability density functions (PDFs) 101
Table 9 – Uncertainty budget template for evaluating the uncertainty in the measured value of 1 g or 10 g psSAR from a DUT or validation antenna (N = normal, R = rectangular) 103
Table 10 – Uncertainty of Formula (8) (see 7.8.2) as a function of the maximum change in permittivity or conductivity 107
Table B.1 – The number of DUTs used for the statistical study 123

Table B.2 – Statistical analysis results of P(Tilt/Cheek > x) for various x values 124
Table B.3 – Statistical analysis results of P(Tilt/Cheek > x) for 1 g and 10 g psSAR 124
Table B.4 – Statistical analysis results of P(Tilt/Cheek > x) for various antenna locations 125
Table B.5 – Statistical analysis results of P(Tilt/Cheek > x) for various frequency bands 125
Table B.6 – Statistical analysis results of P(Tilt/Cheek > x) for various device types 126
Table B.7 – Distance D^{*}_{min} for various “iso-level” values 130
Table B.8 – Experimental thresholds to have a 95 % probability that the maximum measured SAR value from the area scan will also have a psSAR 132
Table B.9 – SAR values from the area scan (GSM 900 band): Example 1 133
Table B.10 – SAR values from the area scan (GSM 900 band): Example 2 133
Table C.1 – Measurement uncertainty budget for relative SAR measurements using Class 2 fast SAR testing, for tests performed within one frequency band and modulation 141
Table C.2 – Measurement uncertainty budget for system check using Class 2 fast SAR testing 142
Table D.1 – Mechanical dimensions of the reference dipoles 146
Table D.2 – Numerical target SAR values (W/kg) for standard dipole and flat phantom 147
Table D.3 – Mechanical dimensions of the standard waveguide 148
Table D.4 – Numerical target SAR values for waveguides 149
Table D.5 – Numerical target SAR values for CLAs 151
Table D.6 – Mechanical dimensions of the reference meander dipole 152
Table D.7 – Numerical target SAR value (W/kg) for meander dipole 153
Table D.8 – Dimensions for VPIFA antennas at different frequencies 154
Table D.9 – Electric properties for the dielectric layers for VPIFA antennas 155
Table D.10 – Numerical target SAR values for VPIFAs on the flat phantom 156
Table E.1 – Uncertainty analysis for transfer calibration using temperature probes 160
Table E.2 – Guidelines for designing calibration waveguides 163
Table E.3 – Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide 164
Table E.4 – Uncertainty template for evaluation of reference antenna gain 166
Table E.5 – Uncertainty template for calibration using reference antenna 167
Table E.6 – Uncertainty components for probe calibration using thermal methods 170
Table F.1 – Suggested recipes for achieving target dielectric properties, 30 MHz to 900 MHz 178
Table F.2 – Suggested recipes for achieving target dielectric properties, 1 800 MHz to 10 000 MHz 179
Table G.1 – Dimensions used in deriving SAM phantom from the ARMY 90th percentile male head data (Gordon et al. [61]) 183
Table G.2 – Additional SAM dimensions compared with selected dimensions from the ARMY 90th percentile male head data (Gordon et al. [61]) – specialist head measurement section 183
Table G.3 – Parameters used for calculation of reference SAR values in Table D.2 190
Table H.1 – Parameters for calculating the dielectric properties of various reference liquids 202
Table H.2 – Dielectric properties of reference liquids at 20 °C 203
Table J.1 –psSAR correction factors 209

Table N.1 – SAR results tables for example test results in GSM 850 band 221
Table N.2 – SAR results tables for example test results in GSM 900 band 222
Table N.3 – SAR results tables for example test results in GSM 1800 band 222
Table N.4 – SAR results tables for example test results in GSM 1900 band 223
Table O.1 – Parameters for the reference function f_1 in Formula (O.12) 231
Table O.2 – Reference SAR values from the distribution functions f_1, f_2, and f_3 232
Table O.3 – Example uncertainty template and example numerical values for permittivity (ε_r') and conductivity (σ) measurement 237
Table S.1 – Uncertainties relating to the deviations of the parameters of the standard waveguide from theory 248
Table S.2 – Other uncertainty contributions relating to the dipole antennas specified in Annex D. 249
Table S.3 – Other uncertainty contributions relating to the standard waveguides specified in Annex D 249
Table U.1 – Summary of information to determine the margin for handsets with straight form factors positioned at the flat bottom of the Uniphantom 254
Table U.2 – Summary of information to determine the margin for handsets with straight form factors, including slide-type and bar handsets (except smart phones), positioned at the flat bottom of the Uniphantom 256
Table U.3 – Summary of information to determine the margin for the smart phones positioned at the flat bottom of the Uniphantom 258
Table U.4 – Summary of information to determine the margin for smart phones positioned at the corner of the Uniphantom 260
Table U.5 – Statistical analysis results of P(Tilt/Cheek > x) for various device types 261
Table U.6 – Summary of information to determine the margin for handsets with clamshell form factors positioned at the corner of the Uniphantom 262
Table W.1 – Relative standard deviation of α found in Study 1 (without MPR) 267
Table W.2 – Maximum relative standard deviation of α found in Study 2 (with MPR) 269