IEC TS 62736

Edition 2.0 2023-01

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

colour inside

Ultrasonics – Pulse-echo scanners – Simple methods for periodic testing to verify stability of an imaging system's elementary performance

IEC TS 62736:2023-01(en)

IEC Secretariat
3, rue de Varembé
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11
info@iec.ch
www.iec.ch

About the IEC
The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications
The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigendum or an amendment might have been published.

IEC publications search - webstore.iec.ch/advsearchform
The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee, …). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished
Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and once a month by email.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc
If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: sales@iec.ch.

IEC Products & Services Portal - products.iec.ch
Discover our powerful search engine and read freely all the publications previews. With a subscription you will always have access to up to date content tailored to your needs.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org
The world's leading online dictionary on electrotechnology, containing more than 22 300 terminological entries in English and French, with equivalent terms in 19 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

IEC TS 62736

Edition 2.0 2023-01

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

colour inside

Ultrasonics – Pulse-echo scanners – Simple methods for periodic testing to verify stability of an imaging system's elementary performance

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

ICS 17.140.50

ISBN 978-2-8322-6345-7

CONTENTS

FOREWORD 5
INTRODUCTION 7
1 Scope 9
2 Normative references 10
3 Terms and definitions 10
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms 14
4.1 Symbols 14
4.2 Abbreviated terms 16
5 General recommendation 17
6 Environmental conditions 17
7 Quality assurance levels 18
7.1 General 18
7.2 Level 1 tests 19
7.3 Level 2 tests 19
7.4 Level 3 tests 20
8 Equipment and data required 20
8.1 Phantoms and software 20
8.1.1 General 20
8.1.2 Phantoms for Level 2 and Level 3 quality assurance 20
8.1.3 Additional phantom specifications for Level 2 quality assurance 21
8.1.4 Additional phantom specifications for Level 3 quality assurance and optional Level 2 tests 23
8.2 Image data 24
8.2.1 Digital-image data 24
8.2.2 Image-archiving systems 25
8.3 Expectations of system suppliers 26
9 Level 1 test methods 26
10 Level 2 measurement methods 27
10.1 Mechanical inspection 27
10.2 Image uniformity for transducer element and channel integrity 27
10.2.1 General 27
10.2.2 Apparatus scanning procedures and system settings 27
10.2.3 Image acquisition 28
10.2.4 Analysis 29
10.3 Randomly distributed high-contrast sphere visualization 30
10.3.1 Methodology 30
10.3.2 Procedure 33
10.3.3 Data recording 35
10.4 Image displays; system and interpretation; maximum relative depth of penetration; spatial resolution 35
10.5 Distance and other spatial measurements 35
11 Level 3 measurement methods 35
11.1 General 35
11.2 Maximum relative depth of penetration 36
11.2.1 Assessment 36
11.2.2 Scanning system settings 36

11.2.3 Image acquisition 37
11.2.4 Analysis 38
11.2.5 Commentary 39
11.3 System-image display 40
11.3.1 General 40
11.3.2 Level 1 tests of the US system and interpretation-station display 41
11.3.3 Level 2 and Level 3 display tests 42
11.4 Distance and other spatial measurements 45
11.4.1 General 45
11.4.2 Apparatus and scanning system settings 45
11.4.3 Image acquisition 45
11.4.4 Analysis 45
11.5 Performance in clinical use and evaluation of QA programme 45
Annex A (informative) Example phantoms for full coupling with curved arrays, particularly for image uniformity tests 46
Annex B (informative) Available analysis software 50
B.1 Open source software for assessment or tracking of ultrasound image QA data 50
B.2 Example of QA control chart 52
Annex C (informative) Electronic test methods and test methods provided by the manufacturers – Relation to clinical significance 54
Annex D (informative) Special considerations for 3D imaging transducers 55
D.1 General 55
D.2 2D transducers and 3D mechanically driven transducers operating in 2D imaging mode 55
D.3 2D arrays operating in 3D imaging mode for determining $LSNR_{md}$ values for reconstructed images as a function of depth or distance from the central plane 55
D.4 Mechanically driven 3D transducers operating in 3D imaging mode 55
Annex E (informative) Example workbook database for tracking high-contrast, low-echo sphere visibility and luminance of the display 56
Bibliography 63

Figure 1 – Median-averaged image (right) and its lateral profile (left) 30
Figure 2 – Examples of portable apparatus for moving the transducer: a) and c) in equal, chosen increments or b) at a known rate 32
Figure 3 – Example of visual estimation of the two defined depth zones in which spheres can be detected with two degrees of fidelity and clarity 33
Figure 4 – Additional examples of visual estimation of the depth Zone 1 and Zone 2, each of which represents a certain degree of fidelity and clarity (IEC 62791) 34
Figure 5 – Maximum relative depth of penetration – image acquisition 37
Figure 6 – Mean digitized image-data value versus depth for the phantom image data ($A(j)$) and for the noise-image data ($A'(j)$) 39
Figure 7 – TG18-QA test pattern for visual evaluation testing [21],[33] 41
Figure 8 – Examples of TG18-LN luminance patterns for luminance measurements [21] 42
Figure 9 – TG270-ULN uniformity and luminance test pattern (TG270-ULN8-127 with background 8-bit grey level 127 is shown) [33] 44
Figure A.1 – Example phantom for image-uniformity and maximum relative depth of penetration tests 46

Figure A.2 – Example compact phantom for image uniformity tests 47
Figure A.3 – Photograph and drawing of a three-in-one phantom which provides for determination of distance measurement precision and bias, image-uniformity, very-low-echo sphere visualization, and depth of penetration [39] 48
Figure A.4 – Two temporally stable, inexpensive phantoms for image uniformity tests 49
Figure B.1 – Example of data analysis for the transducer evaluated to generate Figure 1 51
Figure B.2 – Control chart for a dip in the middle of the profile for one transducer (TD) model, C9-4 and the specified serial number (S/N) 53
Figure E.1 – Current and previous measurements and trendlines providing luminance at various grey levels, fractional slope of luminance and deviation from DICOM GSDF in Δ*JND* per grey level (IEC 62563-2) 62

Table 1 – Overview to the symbols and definitions of the QA terms, other than those for the display 15
Table 2 – Overview of the symbols and definitions of the display QA terms 16
Table 3 – Abbreviated terms 17
Table 4 – Outline of tests by level 18
Table 5 – Ultrasound image display QA tests 44
Table B.1 – Output of image uniformity analysis 52
Table E.1 – Transducer record and baseline high-contrast, low-echo-sphere visualization test data 57
Table E.2 – Database of periodic sphere visualization results 58
Table E.3 – Completed short QA data entry example form for monitor luminance evaluation using test pattern – QA18 59
Table E.4 – Blank, short QA data entry evaluation form for monitor luminance using test pattern – QA18 60
Table E.5 – Analysis of luminance measurements 61

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

_____

ULTRASONICS – PULSE-ECHO SCANNERS –

Simple methods for periodic testing to verify stability of an imaging system's elementary performance

FOREWORD

1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with can participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication might be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

IEC TS 62736 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 87: Ultrasonics. It is a Technical Specification.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition published in 2016. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

a) expansion of the applicable types of transducers and the frequency range of application;
b) extension of test protocols and image assessments, including for **very-low-echo spheres**;
c) revision of **phantom** designs and their acoustic properties, consistent with the second edition of IEC TS 62791;
d) inclusion of luminance tests for system-image display consistency at scanner and remote monitors;

e) addition of special considerations for 3D-imaging transducers (Annex D) and workbook examples (Annex E).

The text of this Technical Specification is based on the following documents:

Draft	Report on voting
87/777/DTS	87/791/RVDTS

Full information on the voting for its approval can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

The language used for the development of this Technical Specification is English.

This document was drafted in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2, and developed in accordance with ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and ISO/IEC Directives, IEC Supplement, available at www.iec.ch/members_experts/refdocs. The main document types developed by IEC are defined in greater detail at www.iec.ch/standardsdev/publications.

Terms **in bold** in the text are defined in Clause 3.

Symbols and formulae are in *Times New Roman italic*.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under webstore.iec.ch in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The "colour inside" logo on the cover page of this document indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

An ultrasonic pulse-echo scanner produces images of tissue in a scan plane by sweeping a narrow, pulsed beam of ultrasound through the section of interest and detecting the echoes generated by reflection at tissue boundaries and by scattering within tissues. Various transducer types are employed to operate in a transmit/receive mode to generate/detect the ultrasonic signals. Ultrasonic scanners are widely used in medical practice to produce images of soft-tissue organs throughout the human body. As ultrasound systems are usually employed under rigorous time restrictions and in diverse environments to help make decisions that are often critical to patients' wellbeing, it is important that the systems perform consistently at the level initially provided and accepted in initial tests, for example, those of IEC TS 62791, IEC 61391-1, 61391-2, and IEC 62563-2. This document provides methods to verify the stability of an imaging system's elementary performance.

This document is deemed necessary because substandard ultrasound-system performance is often accepted or remains undetected in the absence of unequivocal and documented tests. The most common of the failures, in all but the oldest systems nearing retirement, are sub-performance of a transducer-array element or lens or of a cable or electronic channel. There is approximately a 14 % transducer-failure rate and a 10 % system-failure rate per year on first testing [1],[2],[3],[4],[5],[5],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11],[12][1]. Sensitive image uniformity tests for these transducer- and channel-failures are presented here for use daily to monthly (Level 1), annually (Level 2) and biennially (Level 3).

This common occurrence of suboptimal diagnostic examinations has created an urgent need to standardize quality-assurance (**QA**) and performance-evaluation procedures to promote improved efficacy of diagnostic examinations through widespread use of effective **QA** procedures and to dispel myths as to their utility. Proposers believe, however, that existing national and international standards and guides [1],[3],[12],[13],[14] specify or recommend too many tests and inappropriate tests for detecting and discriminating the common flaws in diagnostic ultrasound systems during routine **QA**. These practices include tests, such as spatial resolution, which are low-yield and belong in performance-evaluation procedures, rather than **QA**.

Modern flat-panel display technology is more stable than, and generally far superior to, earlier cathode ray tube (CRT) displays. However, these displays can still exhibit luminance drift, as well as problems such as defective pixels. They still need to be evaluated periodically.

Detection of failures by these recommended pulse-echo tests will probably also detect most failures affecting the operation of other modes, such as colour-flow, harmonic-, elasticity- and compound-imaging. The failures might be more pronounced in these other modes and the fraction of failures in other modes detected by these tests has not been reported.

Image-uniformity **QA** is applicable to transducers operating in the wide 1 MHz to 40 MHz frequency range, as the requirements for phantoms are not stringent for this test. The other tests could be made applicable up to 40 MHz [15],[16] when the depth of penetration measurement is allowed to be relative, rather than absolute, and phantom stability is verified.

NOTE Phantom manufacturers are encouraged to extend the frequency range to which phantoms are specified to enable relative depth-of-penetration tests of systems operating at fundamental and harmonic frequencies above 23 MHz.

System-manufacturing and repair companies, as well as those performing more complete **performance evaluation** for acceptance, replacement, or research might well employ other or additional tests that are not within the scope of this document. More complete tests than those included in the three levels for periodic testing and for assessment at times of particular importance or concern are specified in IEC 61391-1, IEC 61391-2 and IEC TS 62791. These more complete tests are categorized as **performance evaluation**, rather than **quality**

[1] Numbers in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

assurance or frequent periodic testing. It is possible that good, automated analysis of the high-contrast sphere tests will reduce both the need for optional tests listed here, and for most, more complete **performance evaluation**. Full assessment of distance-measurement accuracy might still be required if automated, 3D distance measurement calibration is not added to the high-contrast sphere tests.

Uniformity tests of transducers not readily amenable to transducer-element testing by the simple image-uniformity procedures specified here (for example, phased-array and 2D-array transducers) are not included in the scope. They are usually evaluated well by careful performance of the high-contrast sphere tests. System manufacturers are encouraged to provide pulsing patterns of the transducer elements to allow testing of individual elements or small-enough groups of elements to enable users to detect significant element failure or to provide access to another implemented and explained element-test programme.